1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) ) Case No. 1:14-cr-438
4	Plaintiff, ) Youngstown, Ohio
5	) Thursday, July 2, 2015 vs. ) 10:15 a.m.
6	RYAN D. MALONE, )
7	Defendant. )
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE BENITA Y. PEARSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	SENTENCING
11	APPEARANCES:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	For the Government:  Office of the U.S. Attorney Northern District of Ohio  By: Mary Kendra Klump, Esq. Suite 400  801 Superior Avenue, West Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 622-3689 kendra.klump@usdoj.gov
21 22 23 24	Mary L. Uphold, RDR, CRR Thomas D. Lambros Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse 125 Market Street, Room 337 Youngstown, Ohio 44503-1780 (330) 884-7424 Mary_Uphold@ohnd.uscourts.gov
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

	2
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	
For the Defendant: Office of the Federal Public Defender	
Northern District of Ohio  By: Darin Thompson, Esq.	
750 Skylight Office Tower 1660 West Second Street	
Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 522-4856	
darin_thompson@fd.org	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	THE CLERK: The matter before the court is Case
4	Number 1:14-cr-438, the United States of America versus Ryan
10:15:31 5	D. Malone.
6	THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. You may all
7	retake your seats.
8	ALL: Good morning.
9	THE COURT: Counsel for the United States, will
10:15:41 10	you please introduce yourself for the record and the person
11	seated with you at counsel's table?
12	MS. KLUMP: Good morning, Your Honor. Kendra
13	Klump for the United States. Along with me at table is
14	Special Agent Paul Cruz of the FBI.
10:15:53 15	THE COURT: Welcome to you both.
16	MS. KLUMP: Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Counsel for Mr. Malone, will you
18	please introduce yourself for the record, along with your
19	client?
10:16:01 20	MR. THOMPSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Darin
21	Thompson from the Federal Public Defender Office, and to my
22	left is Ryan Malone.
23	THE COURT: Welcome to you both.
24	I am pleased that our representative from the
10:16:10 25	Office of Pretrial Services and Probation is here with us

today. Sir, will you please introduce yourself for the record?

PROBATION OFFICER: Good morning, Your Honor.

Jordan Wlotzko with United States Probation.

THE COURT: Welcome, Mr. Wlotzko, and thank you for not only being here, but for the work you've done in helping us all to prepare for today's sentencing hearing.

Mr. Malone, this hearing has been scheduled to allow me to impose sentence upon you. And this sentencing follows the indictment brought against you charging you with being a felon in possession of firearm and ammunition, your plea of guilty to that count, and that plea of guilty was taken by a magistrate judge and accepted by me.

I have reviewed, of course, the transcript of that hearing. In addition to that, I've also reviewed the sentencing memorandum that was filed on your behalf by your counsel, Mr. Thompson.

Mr. Thompson, this morning I was given a copy of sentencing -- a supplement to the sentencing memorandum.

And I note that it contains several letters written to the court in support of Mr. Malone. So I also have that.

The presentence investigation report that regards Mr. Malone was first disclosed in May, on the 6th of that month, this year, 2015. Its final disclosure was made on the 23rd of June, this year, 2015. I have that report.

24

10:17:36 25

	1	I've reviewed it.
	2	Let me start with you, Ms. Klump. Is there
	3	anything that I haven't listed that you believe I should
	4	have listed to assure you that I am prepared to go forward
10:17:50	5	today?
	6	MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor.
	7	THE COURT: Thank you.
	8	Mr. Thompson, anything you can think of, sir?
	9	MR. THOMPSON: No, Your Honor.
10:17:56	10	THE COURT: Ms. Klump, let me start again with
	11	you. The final presentence report that I've identified, the
	12	one issued on the 23rd of June, this year, when you look at
	13	page 21 of that report, it tells me there are no objections
	14	filed on behalf of the government.
10:18:13	15	Is that true?
	16	MS. KLUMP: Yes, Your Honor.
	17	THE COURT: Have you had enough time to review
	18	fully this report?
	19	MS. KLUMP: Yes, I have, Your Honor.
10:18:19	20	THE COURT: Thank you.
	21	Mr. Thompson, on that same page, that's page 21,
	22	it tells me that there is one unresolved objection. Is that
	23	all that you have that's unresolved regarding this
	24	presentence report?
10:18:34	25	MR. THOMPSON: Actually, Your Honor, that is no

1 longer unresolved. In the sentencing memo, I believe I indicated that we are withdrawing that objection. 2 THE COURT: I note the objection on the addendum 3 at page 21 is withdrawn. Thank you, Mr. Thompson. 4 Thank you, Your Honor. 10:18:55 MR. THOMPSON: THE COURT: And otherwise, sir, you've had enough 6 7 time to fully review the presentence report? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Along with Mr. Malone? MR. THOMPSON: 10:19:07 10 Yes. 11 THE COURT: Then, Counsel, this is the way I'll 12 And, Mr. Malone, it is important to me that you 13 understand this as well. 14 The first part of this hearing is going to be 10:19:17 15 reviewing with counsel the presentence report and assuring 16 myself that I've correctly calculated the advisory 17 quidelines sentencing range. 18 Once I've completed that part of the hearing, I'll 19 then move on to the part of the hearing wherein I apply not 10:19:36 20 only the law, but my discretion to develop what I believe is 2.1 a sufficient, not greater than necessary sentence to be 2.2 imposed in this case. 23 I will, of course, give consideration to the 24 statutory maximum of 120 months, the correct guidelines 10:19:54 25 range, which is advisory. And when I take into

consideration those things, I'll certainly also be taking into consideration the 3553(a) factors, meaning those factors that will help me to ultimately fashion your sentence.

Not only the nature and circumstances of the offense, but things regarding your history and characteristics, prior record, any violence in that record, physical abuse, diminished capacity, employment, age, substance abuse, family ties.

Just punishment is part of what I'll be considering as well, but that's not all, adequate deterrence, protecting the public, and reflecting the seriousness of the offense.

And while considering all of that, to the extent it can be achieved, sir, I will also give serious consideration to what can be done to allow you to improve your conduct and condition.

With that, I'm ready to begin. Counsel, what I'll do is direct your attention to certain parts of the presentence report. I won't specifically ask about every paragraph, Mr. Malone, of this many-paragraph report. So if there is any part that I don't volunteer to address, just ask and we'll address that part together on the record.

The first place where I'd like to direct your attention is on page 4, under the subtopic heading

10:20:12

10:20:38 15

10:20:57 20

10:21:20 25

	1	"Adjustment for Acceptance of Responsibility," paragraph 10.
	2	Mr. Malone, this is what paragraph 10 says: "The
	3	defendant provided the following statement through defense
	4	counsel wherein he admitted involvement in the offense."
10:21:42	5	And this is in quotes, Mr. Malone. After I read
	6	it, I am going to ask you two questions. The first will be
	7	if that's indeed your statement provided through counsel to
	8	the probation office. The next is if I read it correctly.
	9	"On November 4th, 2014, I possessed the firearm
10:22:02	10	described in the indictment after having been convicted of a
	11	felony. I deeply regret my conduct and ask the court for
	12	lenience."
	13	Sir, is that indeed your statement?
	14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
10:22:12	15	THE COURT: Did I read it correctly?
	16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
	17	THE COURT: On that same page, this time under the
	18	subtitle "Offense Level Computation," starting with
	19	paragraph 11, it identifies that this report has been
10:22:30	20	compiled using the 2014 Guidelines Manual.
	21	Any objection to paragraph 11, Ms. Klump?
	22	MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor.
	23	THE COURT: Mr. Thompson?
	24	MR. THOMPSON: No, Your Honor.
10:22:47	25	THE COURT: Now we move on to the calculation for

1 Count 1 of the indictment, and it's the sole count brought 2 against Mr. Malone, and that is being a felon in possession 3 of firearm and ammunition. Paragraph 12 suggests a base offense level of 24. 4 In paragraph 12, it's explained why the base offense level 10:23:06 5 of 24 is applicable, and that's pursuant to Section 2K2.1 of 6 7 the Guidelines Manual. 8 Any objection to paragraph 12, its explanation, 9 Ms. Klump --10:23:24 10 MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: -- or the ultimate suggestion of 24? 12 MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Thompson? 14 MR. THOMPSON: No, Your Honor. 10:23:31 15 THE COURT: So then moving on to paragraph 13. 16 This is the first place where an adjustment upwards is 17 suggested. And this suggests an adjustment upwards of two 18 levels because the firearm possessed by Mr. Malone was stolen or had an altered or obliterated serial number. 19 In this case, the firearm was stolen. And therefore, pursuant 10:23:53 20 2.1 to the sentencing guidelines -- and, Mr. Wlotzko -- I am 2.2 pronouncing that poorly, aren't I? 23 PROBATION OFFICER: "Wlotzko." 24 THE COURT: "Wlotzko." -- it doesn't state in paragraph 13 the section on 10:24:09 25

1	which you rely. You say (b)(4), but that's also Section
2	2K2, isn't it?
3	PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: And I'd like it to identify that. You
10:24:20 5	and I, and I think those with us in the courtroom know it,
6	but for those who are less familiar, it would be better if
7	we put the full citation to the section there.
8	PROBATION OFFICER: Okay, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10:24:33 10	Apart from that addition, Ms. Klump, any objection
11	or any other addition that you'd suggest to paragraph 13?
12	MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: How about you, Mr. Thompson?
14	MR. THOMPSON: No, Your Honor.
10:24:42 15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	Now we're moving on to paragraph 14. And the same
17	adjustment would be appropriate there, don't you agree?
18	PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Now, understanding that that
10:24:53 20	adjustment will be made, and that Mr. Malone's objection to
21	the increase of four levels, which was addressed on page 21
22	in the addendum, as I've spoken to earlier tell me,
23	Ms. Klump, does the United States have an objection to the
24	increase by four levels specified in paragraph 4, because
10:25:20 25	the firearm was used in connection with any other felony

	1	offense?
	2	MS. KLUMP: No objection, Your Honor.
	3	THE COURT: Mr. Thompson?
	4	MR. THOMPSON: No objection, Your Honor.
10:25:28	5	THE COURT: Counsel, let's double-check the math
	6	here. I have, and I believe that when 24 is increased by
	7	two, it becomes 26. When it's further increased by four, it
	8	does indeed become 30, as suggested at paragraph 18. And I
	9	have no problems with the zero suggested at paragraphs 15,
10:25:51	10	16 and 17.
	11	Ms. Klump, any objections to the zeros suggested
	12	at 15, 16 and 17?
	13	MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor.
	14	THE COURT: Are you in agreement with the math
10:26:01	15	suggested at paragraph 18, that Mr. Malone's adjusted
	16	offense level is a 30 before further adjustment?
	17	MS. KLUMP: Yes, Your Honor.
	18	THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, can you tell me, do you
	19	object to there being no victim-related adjustment, no
10:26:16	20	role-related adjustments, no obstruction adjustment?
	21	MR. THOMPSON: No objection, Your Honor.
	22	THE COURT: And do you agree also with the math at
	23	paragraph 18, sir?
	24	MR. THOMPSON: Yes.
10:26:25	25	THE COURT: It's 30, isn't it?

	1	MR. THOMPSON: Yes, Your Honor.
	2	THE COURT: Okay. So now, and I will remark that
	3	I found no bases in the record for Chapter 4 enhancements.
	4	Have you, Ms. Klump?
10:26:36	5	MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor.
	6	THE COURT: Mr. Thompson?
	7	MR. THOMPSON: No, Your Honor.
	8	THE COURT: So the zero there is correct.
	9	Now we're to the acceptance of responsibility
10:26:44	10	paragraphs. There are two of them, paragraphs 20 and 21.
	11	While I'm not required to hear the government
	12	regarding paragraph 20, Ms. Klump, I'd like to hear you
	13	regarding that paragraph. As importantly, I'd certainly
	14	like to hear you regarding paragraph 21, the suggestion that
10:27:05	15	Mr. Malone be granted a third level downwards pursuant to
	16	3E1.1, subpart (b).
	17	Would you care to be heard?
	18	MS. KLUMP: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
	19	The government would move for the third point
10:27:15	20	reduction for acceptance of responsibility under that
	21	subsection. Mr. Malone did accept responsibility, and also
	22	did so in a timely fashion, enabling the government to save
	23	resources and avoiding preparation for trial.
	24	THE COURT: Thank you.
10:27:31	25	Mr. Thompson, I won't ask for a response and I

1 won't keep you in suspense. I find that -- first of all, I 2 will grant the government's motion regarding 3E1.1, Section 3 (b), and I also find that Mr. Malone is worthy of the two levels downward suggested by Section 3E1.1, subpart (a). 4 Therefore, Mr. Malone, I adopt the suggestions at 10:27:50 paragraphs 20 and 21 and reduce the 30 by three levels, and 6 7 believe that paragraph 22 is correct, Mr. Thompson, when it 8 suggests a total offense level of 27. 9 Any objection to that, sir? 10:28:08 10 MR. THOMPSON: No objection, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Ms. Klump? 12 MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: I think we've correctly calculated the 14 advisory guidelines offense level. Now let's move on to 10:28:19 15 criminal history. We'll work towards the correct 16 calculation of the score, and then ultimately the category. 17 Regrettably, this is a long section, Mr. Malone. 18 It starts at the bottom of page 5, spills over onto a full 19 page 6, a full page 7, a full page 8, a full page 9, a full 10:28:44 20 page 10, a full page 11. Midway on page 12, although the 21 criminal history doesn't end there, but because what follows 2.2 regards other criminal conduct that is not scored, we can 23 stop at the middle of page 12. And I ask you to focus on

paragraphs 34, 35 and 36.

24

10:29:09 25

1 convictions result in a total criminal history score of 11. 2 Paragraph 35 tells us that because Mr. Malone was 3 under supervision by the Adult Parole Authority, two points are added. And that's pursuant to Section 4A1.1, subpart 4 (d). 10:29:31 5 And, Mr. Wlotzko, I think that's a reference back 6 to paragraph 32, isn't it, the Parole Authority supervision, 7 8 because that at 33 had terminated? 9 PROBATION OFFICER: That is correct, Your Honor. 10:29:53 10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 11 Lastly, paragraph 36 combines the additional two levels with the 11 points at 34, resulting in a total score 12 13 of 13, placing Mr. Malone in a criminal history category of 14 VI. 10:30:15 15 Ms. Klump, any corrections or objections to 16 paragraphs 34, 35 or 36? 17 MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Thompson? 19 MR. THOMPSON: No, Your Honor. 10:30:23 20 THE COURT: I don't think it necessary to review 2.1 that which follows at the bottom half of page 12, 13 or 14, 2.2 but again, if anyone would like me to, I will. Absent that, 23 I think we now have the correct criminal history category, 24 and that is a VI. The intersection of an offense level 27 with a 10:30:47 25

	1	category of VI, Mr. Malone, suggests a low end range of
	2	incarceration of 130 months, the high end of 162 months.
	3	The maximum term of incarceration that the law allows to be
	4	imposed for the offense to which you've pled guilty is only
10:31:13	5	ten years; therefore, I must cap the range at ten years. So
	6	in place of the low end of 120, I use just a single number,
	7	and that is 120.
	8	Any disagreement with that, Mr. Thompson?
	9	MR. THOMPSON: No, Your Honor.
10:31:29	10	THE COURT: Ms. Klump?
	11	MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor.
	12	THE COURT: Counsel, with that I think we're ready
	13	to move on to the second phase of sentencing, and that being
	14	the phase wherein I hear from counsel, of course,
10:31:42	15	incorporating not only the allocutions of counsel, but the
	16	sentencing memorandum filed on behalf of Mr. Malone, and
	17	also the letters that were filed as a supplement to that
	18	sentencing memorandum.
	19	Is there anything more, Ms. Klump, before I hear
10:31:58	20	your allocution?
	21	MS. KLUMP: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
	22	THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, how about you before the
	23	allocutions start, anything more?
	24	MR. THOMPSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
10:32:04	25	THE COURT: Certainly.

Mr. Malone, after I've heard both counsel 1 allocute, I will hear from you. Should you choose to speak 2 to the court, I will hear you before I sentence you. 3 Understand? 4 THE DEFENDANT: 10:32:20 Yes. THE COURT: Ms. Klump, I'm ready when you are. 6 7 MS. KLUMP: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 The government would request that the court impose 9 a statutory maximum in this case. The government feels that a sentence of 120 months would be sufficient, but not 10:32:28 10 11 greater than necessary, to achieve the goals of 3553(a). 12 The government recognizes that asking for the 13 statutory max is unusual, but does feel that it is 14 appropriate in this case for several reasons. 10:32:45 15 First, as to the nature and circumstances of the 16 offense, the court is, of course, familiar with those 17 circumstances from the PSR report. But just to touch 18 briefly on some aspects of the offense conduct and relevant 19 conduct. 10:33:00 20 The government would respectfully submit this is 2.1 anything but a garden variety felon in possession case, Your 2.2 Honor. Here, not only -- well, here there are several 23 aggravating factors to an otherwise somewhat common offense, 24 unfortunately, before this court. 10:33:19 25 One, to start with, the firearm itself, of course,

1 there is the enhancement for that firearm being stolen, and 2 the dangers and risks that are associated with stolen 3 firearms in our community. But also, I think it's important to note that this firearm was loaded; not just with 15 4 rounds in the magazine, but also with one in the chamber, 10:33:35 further amplifying the danger that was inherent with the 6 carrying of that firearm. 7 8 In addition, the firearm was found on Mr. Malone's 9 It wasn't, as it might be in other cases, found in a nearby desk drawer or under the seat in a car, but it was 10:33:53 10 11 found on his person as he was exiting a vehicle. 12 Which transitions me to the other relevant 13 conduct, of course, looming in this case, which is the 14 four-level enhancement that Mr. Malone has received for use 10:34:12 15 of a firearm in connection with another felony offense. 16 Here, as Your Honor is aware, the other felony 17 offenses involved are very severe and very troubling ones. 18 In summary, the kidnapping of an individual victim on the 19 east side of Cleveland that was committed by Mr. Malone and three other individuals. 10:34:31 20 2.1 The evidence connecting Mr. Malone to that crime, 2.2

not only from his failure to -- or his non-objection to the enhancement, but as is evident in the report, the use of his phone to make a ransom demand to the victim's family.

Also, when he was taken into custody and when he

23

had the firearm in question on his person, in addition,

there was a mask on his person, there was duct tape. The

evidence suggests that duct tape was used to bind the victim

in the kidnapping. And certainly the presence of a mask

further amplifies the fear that was brought about by this

whole conduct.

I won't belabor the facts underlying the

kidnapping, Your Honor. You have it before you in paragraph 6. But certainly this — the conduct involved, not just the abduction of a person, but binding the individual and placing him in the trunk of a car, and then later the backseat, demonstrates that Mr. Malone not just possesses a firearm, but certainly is not afraid to use a firearm to further his own needs and desires, but also uses violence. And unfortunately, we see that on a repeated basis throughout this report.

Along with Mr. Malone's use of violence and firearms to further his personal agendas, we also see throughout his criminal history repeated disregard for others, personally as well as their property.

Which leads me, Your Honor, to the history and characteristics of the defendant, which further evidences that the statutory max is warranted in this case.

When Your Honor looks at the criminal history of Mr. Malone, unfortunately, what is apparent is a consistent

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.1

2.2

23

24

10:35:30 10

10:35:52 15

10:36:14 20

and troubling pattern of not just criminal conduct starting at an early age, but unfortunately, violent criminal conduct.

Starting as early as age 13, we see five adjudications as a juvenile. At age 15, Mr. Malone was adjudicated delinquent of felonious assault, and there, I believe, is the first time where we see the firearm -- use of a firearm clearly involved in his criminal history. And, of course, from there, unfortunately, the presence of a firearm continues.

As an adult, we see all of the convictions that he acquires, starting with the aggravated burglary and attempted. We also then on page 9 of the report, again we see aggravated riot, this time with firearm specifications.

Once again, there's a trafficking offense, with not only a firearm specification, but a school yard specification.

And as Your Honor can see, a firearm is a part of nearly every single one or a majority of Mr. Malone's offenses. And in many of them, as is evident from the descriptions included in the report, the firearm isn't just present, but is involved in brandishing, and many times — or several times, the firearm is discharged as a part of the offense.

In paragraph 33, and I think this one is very important to note, this is not Mr. Malone's first time in

10:37:10 10

10:36:47

10:37:30 15

10:37:52 20

10:38:07 25

federal court, and it's not his first time in federal court for a felon in possession charge.

In 2006, he was sentenced to 65 months. I believe that was by Judge O'Malley, if I'm not mistaken. And so he has already had a previous federal sanction for this very same or very similar conduct. He got off supervised release in April 2013. It appears he didn't have too many problems during his period of supervised release with one of Your Honor's former colleagues.

But unfortunately, in August of 2013, just shortly within four months or so of him being released from federal supervision is when he picks up an offense -- well, I'm sorry, it's a pending charge. But when he's arrested in Indiana for driving under the influence of a controlled substance.

I think this is good evidence, Your Honor, of the defendant's lack of regard for the laws that are put in place by our society, and also indicating that previous sanctions have achieved little to no deterrent effect.

Particularly given that he's already been through a significant federal sentence before returning to very similar, and actually even worse once you consider the relevant conduct of the kidnapping, offense conduct.

For all these reasons, Your Honor, we would ask that you impose the statutory max. We feel that's necessary

10:38:47 10

10:38:26

12 13

11

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

14

10:39:05 15

16

17

18

19

10:39:28 20

2.1

22

23

24 10:39:47 25 1 to promote respect for the law, to achieve just punishment 2 for this offense, and most importantly, two of the factors 3 under 3553(a), which are deter the defendant, primarily, from engaging in future criminal conduct of this nature, 4 particularly ones involving firearms, and also to protect the public, such as the victim who was involved in the 6 7 kidnapping here, and any other future people who might be put in harm's way by Mr. Malone's use or possession of 8 9 firearms.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Klump. May I ask you a question?

MS. KLUMP: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: The memorandum submitted on behalf of Mr. Malone indicates, and if you have it, you could look at the bottom of page 4, and then Mr. Thompson repeats it without the citation to the guidelines section on page 5.

And basically what he does at the bottom of page 4 is he introduces a relatively new section of the Guidelines Manual, and that's 5G1.3. And he's kind enough to quote it there for me. And I appreciate that, Mr. Thompson, because it's not been in existence all that long.

But basically, he asks that I state that whatever sentence I impose today be served concurrently with an anticipated state sentence. And Mr. Thompson will speak to

10:40:06

11

13

14

12

10:40:31 15

16

17

18

19

10:40:54 20

21

23

2.2

24

10:41:16 25

me about this as well. I have some difficulty with

anticipated. The guidelines, unfortunately, doesn't define

that.

We know that there is the kidnapping with firearms specifications offense pending against Mr. Malone in Cuyahoga County, and it's the offense that's referred to.

Generally we talk about another felony offense resulting in the four-level increase.

My difficulty with the imposition of a concurrent sentence, because I anticipate a state sentence, is he hasn't pled guilty in state court, he hasn't been tried, he's only been charged. And you and I know that an indictment is proof of nothing.

So I'd simply like to hear your position on the imposition of this federal sentence concurrently with an anticipated state sentence pursuant to Section 5G1.3.

MS. KLUMP: Thank you, Your Honor. I have some similar confusion as to how this is -- how this particular guideline is to be implemented, given that it would apparently ask the court to impose a sentence concurrent to a sentence that we don't know if it will take place or what it would ever be.

Unfortunately, I do read -- it's the government's understanding in this case that this guideline does, however, direct the court to -- or recommends to the court

to impose a concurrent sentence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

10:43:38 10

10:43:50 15

10:44:17 20

10:44:23 25

10:43:15

Perhaps if the court, in issuing its judgment, if it found a concurrent sentence appropriate, which the government would not object to, to specify perhaps the particular case, county case in which the case should run concurrent to, as opposed to perhaps — so there's no confusion in the record or with the Bureau of Prisons, as to perhaps any other pending matter that Mr. Malone might have, as is apparent, for example, in paragraph 45, or maybe other pending charges which are not known at this time.

THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate that.

Are you otherwise finished?

MS. KLUMP: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, I am ready for your allocution. And unless you'd prefer to stay there, you are welcome to stay there if you'd like to, I'd invite you to the podium with your client.

MR. THOMPSON: Please, Your Honor. Thank you.

Come up to the podium.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. May it please the court.

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. THOMPSON: I would ask the court to consider, with regard to his history and circumstances, circumstances

of his upbringing that I pointed out in his sentencing memo filed with the court, and that are reflected in his presentence report.

He does have family here in support of him today, including his mother. I would ask the court to consider both the fact that his childhood was difficult, given that both parents were actively using crack cocaine during most of his young life, as mitigatory.

And I would also ask the court to consider the fact that his mother is here, clean and sober for many years, as reason for hope.

His father also became clean and sober, but, unfortunately, passed away in June of this year, on June 6th.

Mr. Malone, in reflecting on where his conduct has brought him and what his life looks like now and what he hopes to make it when he's released, feels the pain of his father's loss especially.

He is before the court, having spent previous times in federal and state prison, and is now facing a prison sentence here and in state court that will result in him being released in his upper 30s, if he is very lucky, and most likely higher.

The state charges he is facing, and the state sentence that we are anticipating, is likely to be higher

10:44:41

10:45:26 15

10:45:45 20

10:46:05 25

than the maximum in this case. I believe they will be, of
course, asking the state judge to consider concurrent time
and consider a sentence that would not increase his total
sentence. However, the kidnapping with a firearm
specification carries, I believe, 6 to 13 years of mandatory
time. With a repeat violent offender specification, I
believe that may make the maximum term mandatory. The
felonious assault with a firearm carries an identical term.

I would ask this court to consider also that

Mr. Malone has given himself, through his hard work, a skill

that's going to, you know, enable him to find employment, as

he did when he was on supervision for his last felon in

possession case. He got a certificate in asbestos removal

that he is going to have to renew because it will expire,

but he found employment in that field. It's a field that I

expect will still be in existence in ten or more years.

And I think the fact that he has a -- that he has real employment opportunities is something else that this court can consider as reason for hope in this case.

I would ask the court to therefore consider a sentence less than the maximum in this case. I would ask the court to follow the guideline provision directing concurrent time with the anticipated state sentence. Both because the guidelines indicate that it should be done, but I believe that there's good commonsense reason for it also.

23

24

10:48:11 25

THE COURT: Let me, before you get to that, the
difficulty I have is not -- if there were a sentence
pending, then that would be an easy adjustment. I'd simply
state "concurrent to the sentence imposed." Or even if
there had been a conviction, a plea of guilty. We don't
have either of those. We simply have a charge pending.

And I don't know if you've had this 5G1.3 applied in a circumstance such as this, where there is not yet an imposed sentence. And how am I to know whether or not to truly anticipate it? Our justice system is alive and well. What if there is an acquittal? Then I would assume right, there would be no concurrent sentence. But it's simply asking me to make a case.

I will admit to you, because of the four-level enhancement, this is not as difficult as it could otherwise be. Because I've had to review that, even before the withdrawal of the objection, to decide for myself if there was an appropriate enough nexus, if, by a preponderance of the evidence, I find that there was a firearm used in relation to another felony offense. Therefore, I've been able to satisfy myself that there was some other felony offense. But that still isn't a conviction.

MR. THOMPSON: That's understood, Your Honor. And I don't believe that the guideline -- I believe the guideline provision was meant to apply in circumstances like

10:49:41 25

this, and not create, you know, sort of a procedural minefield through which a defendant must walk to afford himself of that provision.

Certainly, it is expected, and I want to be careful, because as Your Honor indicates, Mr. Malone hasn't pled guilty in that case, and remains presumed innocent, and plea negotiations are not, it's my understanding, finalized. But I believe I can represent to the court, based on conversations with state counsel, that it is anticipated that that case is going to be resolved.

However, I mean, certainly should this court not find that it would apply otherwise, and find that a concurrent sentence would not be appropriate without that provision being applicable, then I would indicate that I'd deeply regret not having that case resolved prior to coming before Your Honor and would ask for an opportunity to do so.

But if I could just touch on I believe the commonsense reasons --

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. THOMPSON: -- why this court should do so in this case.

First, as Your Honor noted, he's already receiving a substantial four-level increase for involvement in that conduct, such that consecutive time would perhaps not be within the letter of the law of double jeopardy or double

10:51:04 20

10:51:17 25

2.1

2.2

23

24

1

2

punishment, certainly would violate the spirit of it.

But most importantly I think, what he is being punished for in this case is just possession of the firearm. Although the surrounding circumstances are important and inform this court's decision, I would suggest that this court's role is punishment for possession of the firearm itself.

This court is not -- doesn't have the victim, the alleged victim in that case before it, doesn't have a full view of all of the facts and circumstances in that case, nor would it be appropriate to turn this sentencing hearing into a minitrial or a minisentencing of felonious assault and kidnapping. That is really the state court's role.

And I would ask the court to, by ordering a sentence to run concurrent with the state sentence, to preserve the state judge's power in that case. The state court judge who sees that -- looks that person in the eye, who has these circumstances fresh before him, should be in the position to control whether or not ten years is enough, or whether consecutive time of some amount is enough, even if it's concurrent but the sentence is higher than ten, so, therefore, it overlaps, or whether a full consecutive sentence is required, which would result in a sentence -- in this case, would result in a sentence near -- in excess of 20 years, potentially, far greater than is necessary under

10:52:56 25

1 the federal sentencing statutes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

10:53:23 10

10:53:44 15

10:53:12

For those reasons, I would ask the court to consider not only a sentence of less than a minimum, but most importantly, to consider a -- to order a concurrent sentence with the anticipated state sentence in that underlying state case.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Do you want me to get that paper for you?

THE COURT: Thank you again, Mr. Malone. When you're ready, I'm ready, sir.

Mr. Thompson, direct upwards that microphone. Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, today I stand in front of you and accept full responsibility for my actions. There isn't one day that passes where I don't feel ashamed about how I let my family down. I understand that life throws challenges your way and it is up to you in order to overcome them.

I would like to say to you, as well as my family, that I will not let this situation define me. I am determined to clean myself up, not only for the sake -- for the sake of not only myself, but for my family and my beautiful daughter as well.

10:54:17 25

10:54:01 20

Despite my shortcomings, my family has been very,
very supportive, and have shown that they are willing to
help as long as I put forth the effort. I am sure to be in
the age of my 30s upon my release, and I can honestly admit
that I need to become more responsible and take advantage of
my life while I still have the chance.

As far as my future plans, when I am released, I will enroll back into college in order to finish and receive my business degree. I also plan on going back to class to renew my asbestos certification so that I can obtain some consistent employment in order to further my success while being able to take care of myself and return the love and support back to my loved ones.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. I appreciate that you gave some advance thought to what you'd say, and I know from this sentencing memorandum that Mr. Thompson and you had spoken about your plans, and I am pleased that you have them. That shows hope.

I've received these letters that I mentioned to you as a supplement to your sentencing memorandum, and again and again, "Your Honor, Ryan is not a bad person," "Ryan was on the right track." It's repeated over and over again.

And what's not explained to me by the letters, and that's understandable, but not even by you, is how in the

10:55:02 15

16

2.1

2.2

1 world did you let this happen again. Not only do you have a 2 dense, serious criminal history, one that reeks of violence, but you've been through federal court. You've served five 3 years. You've even been through Reentry Court. And I have 4 to believe you've stood before judges at various times 10:56:08 saying much of the same things you've said today. I've got 6 7 to believe there's probably no one in the courtroom who 8 hasn't been in a courtroom like this before with you 9 standing before a judge waiting to find out what would 10:56:23 10 happen. 11 THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. 12 THE COURT: So I understand what you said. 13 heard it. I am having trouble understanding, first, how it 14 is you're back here. THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, upon reading my 10:56:33 15 16 letter, I didn't want to stop, I wanted to get the entire 17 letter out before I spoke on how I allowed the situation to 18 happen once again. And like --19 THE COURT: Well, if you have something to tell 10:56:49 20 me, tell me now. Because the next time I start speaking, you won't have another opportunity. 2.1 2.2 THE DEFENDANT: All right. 23 THE COURT: So if there's anything you'd like to 24 tell me -- because I tell you, quite frankly, what I am

trying to decide is when you're going to be ready to

10:57:00 25

1 interact with society. 2 THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: And if you can help me with that, say 3 it now or you'll forever hold your peace. 4 I will say it now, Your Honor. 10:57:13 THE DEFENDANT: Upon this situation, the time -- the sentence that you hand 6 7 down, Your Honor, I will use that time wisely. I have no 8 other choice. I have no other option. I don't want to 9 spend the rest of my life incarcerated. I have a family that loves me. I have a daughter that I love, and I know 10:57:31 10 11 she loves me. I have to use this time to get myself 12 together. There are no more options at all, Your Honor. 13 And I can honestly say that I made a mistake. 14 know, things became hard for me. I became depressed and I 10:57:56 15 let myself down. But I will -- I will rebound from this 16 situation, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Are you finished? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. I have listened to all that's been said. 10:58:06 20 2.1 reviewed the record, and I am prepared to state the reasons 2.2 why I will impose the maximum of 120 months, Mr. Malone. 23 I have heard what you've said, and I know the 24 chances you've been given, and I can't accept so little

provocation as things got hard. Things are always hard.

10:58:26 25

They will always be hard, and you are going to have to be prepared for that.

What you did was a blatant disrespect not only for society and its laws, but for human life. And I accept that you had a difficult upbringing. But you know what you've done now? You've ensured that many possibly, but certainly one person is going to have a difficult upbringing, and that's your daughter. You know, loving her is good. I love her too. But I don't pay her bills and neither do you. And you're not going to start doing that for a real long time.

And you have to stop thinking about yourself.

It's easy to be depressed, especially when you refuse to change the circumstances surrounding you. And I don't pretend to know what you face daily, but I know some of the tools and chances that you've been given, especially when I'm made to know that you've gone through one of our Reentry Courts. And you blew it. You came out and you did this most horrific thing. You possessed a firearm and ammunition after having been convicted as a felon. There is absolutely no bases for that.

THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: That's against federal law.

Don't speak. I've told you once. Don't part your lips with the anticipation of uttering a sound. Am I clear?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

19

2.1

2.2

23

24

10:59:40 20

10:59:51 25

1

2

3

1 THE COURT: It's done.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.1

2.2

23

24

11:00:28 10

11:00:47 15

11:01:07 20

11:00:09

For all of the reasons I've stated, having considered the 3553(a) factors, I can only hope that you truly have gotten it. Federal prison is no place to grow up. It's not what it's designed for.

I find that the statutory maximum is appropriate for you based upon your past. I find it's appropriate for you because adequately deterring you is what I hope to do. This time you have twice the federal sentence you received the last time. If this doesn't deter you, I can almost guarantee you, you're going to come back an armed career criminal or something worse, you are going to be looking at a 15 mandatory minimum or a life sentence. And you had better consider that before you allow depression or things getting hard to allow you to act in a way that's disruptive to society and violative of our laws.

So for all of those reasons, I hereby impose a 120-month term of incarceration. Upon your release from prison, sir, you are going to serve, and I know you're well familiar with this, supervision for three years.

Within 72 hours after you leave prison, you must report to the nearest probation officer. If you don't, that would be your very first opportunity to violate your three-year term of supervision.

And I know that you know this already, but I am

1 going to say it so that it's clear. If you violate 2 supervision, that's a reason for supervision to be revoked 3 and for you to be returned to prison. You understand that, don't you? 4 THE DEFENDANT: 11:01:36 Yes. THE COURT: I am not imposing a fine, but I do 6 7 require that you pay the \$100 special assessment. It's 8 essentially court costs. 9 Restitution is not an issue in this case; therefore, there is none imposed. 11:01:47 10 11 Sir, I know you know this. You knew it 13 points 12 ago. But I tell you this for the record so there's no 13 further confusion. For the rest of your life, I hope this 14 case, but certainly during this three years while you're on 11:02:04 15 supervision, you shall never again commit a federal, local 16 or state crime. That's no crime. You can't be a little bit 17 law-abiding and partially lawbreaking. 18 So if you leave prison without a valid driver's 19 license, you can't drive. You can't even drive to the 11:02:22 20 bureau to get your license. You can't jaywalk. You can't 2.1 text while driving. If you're driving, you must buckle up. 2.2 You can't violate the law. Because if you violate the law 23 while you're on federal supervision, that's a reason to

revoke your supervision and return you to prison.

I know that you understand that, don't you?

24

11:02:37 25

1 THE DEFENDANT: Correct.

THE COURT: Sir, you shall never again, ever, possess a firearm, destructive device, dangerous weapon or even a single bullet. You're a felon. And as long as you reside in these United States, that will always be your circumstance.

You understand that, don't you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you believe that you cannot live safely in a place without possessing a firearm, destructive device or dangerous weapon or even a bullet, move. Just pick up and go where someone else doesn't know you. What difference does it make? As far as I can see, things weren't going so well for you here. Move to another place. Bus tables. Do what you can. If you don't have your asbestos certificate re-upped by then, work. Honest work is good work. It will keep you out of trouble.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And your supervision is not a reason not to relocate, because any right-thinking judge would support you in that, if you make sure that you receive permission from the probation office before changing your address.

Do you understand that, sir?

8

9

6

2

3

4

## 11:02:59 10

11:02:53

11

13

14

12

11:03:21 15

16

17

18

19

11:03:33 20

21

23

2.2

24

11:03:48 25

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

11:04:15 10

11:04:30 15

11:04:48 20

11:03:59

THE COURT: Sir, I am ordering that you approve in -- that you participate in an approved program of substance abuse testing, that can include outpatient or inpatient substance abuse treatment as directed by your supervising probation officer.

This is also going to include random drug testing. You are going to have at least three drug tests. The first will come soon after you're released from prison, within 15 days, or it could come sooner, within that 72-hours-after-you're-released-from-prison meeting with your probation officer.

Never do anything to interfere with the test.

Don't misunderstand me. I'm not telling you that you can ever use drugs, illegal drugs or take a prescription drug prescribed for someone else, but I'd much rather you test positive than avoid the test. Meaning don't show up, or show up and pretend you can't make urine, or show up and present someone else's urine as your own. Because those will be reasons for automatic revocation of your supervision.

If you're using, that can be dealt with, to some extent, before any revocation circumstances come into play.

Does that make sense?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

38 1 THE COURT: Sir, your DNA will be collected. your supervising probation officer asks that you participate 2 in that DNA submission, please abide. All right? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Okav. THE COURT: While you're on this three-year term 11:05:14 of supervision, I am going to allow the probation office to 6 7 search you, without a warrant, if reasonable suspicion 8 suggests that you're in any way in violation of your 9 supervision or in violation of law or in possession of 11:05:26 10 contraband. So the search can be not only of your body, 11 sir, but it can be of the place where you live. 12 Family, the probation officers can come to your 13 homes if you've welcomed Mr. Malone in. Don't interrupt, 14 none of you. That can be an automatic revocation of 11:05:43 15 supervision. If something is found, we'll take it up after it's found. 16 17

The search can be at a place where you work.

Please, while you make sure that anyone with whom you make your home knows that you can be searched, you don't need to do that at work. If it happens at work, it will be done respectively. The results will be taken up once they're known.

Make sense?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The search can happen in any car that

11:05:57 20

18

19

21

2.2

23

you typically drive or are driven in. And it can also be of a computer that you use or have access to, if it's believed that the computer is involved in the reasonable suspicion circumstances.

Mr. Thompson, your commonsense approach to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.1

2.2

23

24

11:06:41 10

11:07:05 15

11:07:23 20

11:07:53 25

11:06:20

imposing the 120-year -- 120-month sentence makes the most sense. While I don't like anticipating a sentence, especially when, as you've pointed out, Mr. Malone is presumed innocent of the kidnapping charges that await him in state court, I will follow 5G1.3 and remark now and restate it in writing when I issue the judgment and commitment order, that the 120-month sentence is to be served concurrently to, and as Ms. Klump stated, the sentence anticipated in the pending case in Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas, and that is the case for kidnapping with firearm specifications and repeat offender specification, abduction with firearm specifications, felonious assault and firearm specifications with a repeat offender specification, having weapons while under disability, carrying concealed weapons, possessing criminal tools, receiving stolen property, and all of those are given the single case number of CR-14-591007. And to assist the Bureau of Prisons, that is the charge brought against Mr. Malone that's noted in paragraph 46 of the presentence report.

	1	Mr. Wlotzko, what have I missed, sir?
	2	PROBATION OFFICER: Nothing, Your Honor.
	3	THE COURT: Mr. Thompson?
	4	(Discussion held off the record between Mr. Thompson
11:08:02	5	and the Defendant.)
	6	MR. THOMPSON: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you.
	7	THE COURT: Did I give you enough time to finish?
	8	MR. THOMPSON: Yes. Thank you.
	9	THE COURT: I know that you know this, and I am
11:08:11	10	going to state it for the record so that you can start the
	11	discussion with Mr. Malone about his appellate rights. You
	12	entered a plea of guilty without a plea agreement, so you
	13	haven't waived any of your appellate rights.
	14	You only have 14 days after I reduce to writing
11:08:23	15	the sentence that I've just imposed to file a notice of
	16	appeal. And all that is is a one sentence notice telling
	17	the appellate court to set a schedule to allow you to more
	18	fully explain the theories that you believe may be viable on
	19	appeal.
11:08:40	20	But if you file that notice after the 14 days has
	21	expired, you may have forever waived your appellate rights.
	22	Do you understand that?
	23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
	24	THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, I don't know if you or
11:08:51	25	Mr. Malone will decide to work together on any appeal that

1 he files, but will you at least file timely the notice of appeal for him should he ask you to do so? 2 Yes, Your Honor. 3 MR. THOMPSON: THE COURT: Mr. Malone, you should not allow the 4 fact that you may not be able to afford counsel to stop you 11:09:04 from filing an appeal, because just as Mr. Thompson has been 6 7 appointed to represent you, the appellate court can assure 8 that you're appointed counsel if you're found to be unable 9 to afford counsel. 11:09:19 10 Do you understand that, sir? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: I am going to start with Ms. Klump and 13 then come back to you, Mr. Thompson. 14 Ms. Klump, tell me, what have I missed? 11:09:31 15 MS. KLUMP: Nothing, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Please take a moment now to state any 17 objections you have to any part of the proceedings as to 18 Mr. Malone. And state specifically any objections to the 19 sentence that I've just imposed. 11:09:45 20 MS. KLUMP: No objections, Your Honor. 21 objections to the proceedings. 2.2 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 Mr. Thompson, now is the opportunity for you to 24 state for the record any objection you have to any part of 11:09:55 25 the proceedings. And please separately state any objection

to the sentence imposed.

MR. THOMPSON: No objection to the proceedings,

Your Honor. To preserve his appellate rights, I would note
an objection to the maximum sentence, because we did request

less than a maximum sentence.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Certainly. Your objection is noted and overruled for the reasons stated on the record.

Mr. Malone, your future is in your hands. I hope you will continue to grow and improve yourself while in prison. Please don't spend your time there developing how, when you leave prison, you can further your criminal conduct, but prepare yourself for life in society without any more lawbreaking.

I can almost guarantee you that when you alight from prison this time, if you are in your 30s, that may not happen again. The next time, if you're incarcerated, especially as a result of a federal conviction, you're likely to spend the rest of your life in prison.

And I think you know, the federal system and the state system are very different. Life, when issued by a federal judge, is just that, life. There is no such thing as parole. There is no such thing as expungement.

And I hope you will do your very, very best to make up for your absence from your daughter's life in every

11:10:12

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11:10:23 10

12 13

11

14

11:10:39 15

16

17

18 19

11:10:53 20

21

22

23

24

11:11:09 25

1	way that you possibly can. I wish you nothing but the best,
2	sir.
3	This hearing is adjourned.
4	THE CLERK: All rise.
11:11:20 5	(Proceedings concluded at 11:11 a.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## C E R T I F I C A T E

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

7 /s/ Mary L. Uphold July 28, 2015 Mary L. Uphold, RDR, CRR Date